

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
9 SAN JOSE DIVISION  
10


11 DARLA PADGETT, et al.,  
12 Plaintiffs,  
13 v.  
14 CITY OF MONTE SERENO, et al.,  
15 Defendants.

NO. C 04-03946 JW

**ORDER RE: PLAINTIFFS'  
ADMINISTRATIVE REQUEST TO  
RECONSIDER DISCOVERY ORDER**

17 Before the Court is Plaintiffs Darla and Joseph Padgett's ("Plaintiffs") motion for  
18 reconsideration of Magistrate Judge Richard Seeborg's ruling on Plaintiffs' motion to compel  
19 inspection of the City of Monte Sereno's ("the City") computer system. On March 20, 2006,  
20 Magistrate Judge Seeborg denied the motion to compel because the City would be forced to incur  
21 the burden, expense, and any residual risks to the security of its systems. Pursuant to Civil Local  
22 Rule 72-2, Defendants shall file objections, if any, by **Monday, April 24, 2006 by midnight**. As  
23 stated on the record at the April 17, 2006 hearing, Plaintiffs shall submit a new proposed order  
24 limiting the scope of inspection of the computers.

25  
26 Dated: April 20, 2006  
27

  
28 JAMES WARE  
United States District Judge

1 | THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT COPIES OF THIS ORDER HAVE BEEN DELIVERED TO:

2 Christine Peek [cpeek@mfmlaw.com](mailto:cpeek@mfmlaw.com)  
3 Colleen Duffy Smith [cduffysmith@mfmlaw.com](mailto:cduffysmith@mfmlaw.com)  
4 James McManis [jmcmanis@mfmlaw.com](mailto:jmcmanis@mfmlaw.com)  
Joseph C. Howard [jhoward@hrmrlaw.com](mailto:jhoward@hrmrlaw.com)  
Marwa Elzankaly [melzankaly@mfmlaw.com](mailto:melzankaly@mfmlaw.com)  
Michael Reedy [mreedy@mfmlaw.com](mailto:mreedy@mfmlaw.com)

6 || Dated: April 20, 2006

## **Richard W. Wieking, Clerk**

By: /s/ JW Chambers  
**Melissa Peralta**  
**Courtroom Deputy**